

REMARKS

The Final office action of 23 November 2005 allowed claims 23-27 and objected to claims 3-5, 7, 12-16, and 28-31.

This response inserts patentable subject matter derived from allowed claims into rejected claims so that all of the claims remaining in the application stay within the scope of what has been allowed. The following describes specific examples.

First and second pulleys are defined in claim 1 as arranged at upper and lower tension regions so as to provide claimed structure at the upper and lower tension regions. The claim also explains how tension elements are reeved over the pulleys. This corresponds generally with similar subject matter in allowed claims.


Claim 8 also defines pulleys in the locations that were previously defined as upper and lower tension regions, again to provide claimed structure at such regions. Similar subject matter appears in allowed claims 23 and 26.

Changes to dependent claims are to make them consistent with the modifications to the main claims.

The office action objected to claims 30 and 31 for depending on rejected claims. Actually, claim 30 depends on allowed claim 23, and claim 31 depends on allowed claim 26 so that these two claims should be allowed.

Applicant intends by this amendment to put all the claims remaining in the application in an allowable state covering subject matter such as previously has been allowed. For any question on this, the examiner is invited to call applicant's attorney.

Respectfully Submitted:
Yong Woo Kim

By: 
Eugene S. Stephens, Reg. No. 20,649, Attorney for Applicant
BROWN & MICHAELS, P.C.
400 M&T Bank Building - 118 N. Tioga St.
Ithaca, NY 14850
(607) 256-2000 • (607) 256-3628 (fax)
e-mail: docket@bpmlegal.com
Dated: January 11, 2005